

*Budget Deliberations of the 2018 - 2019 Session*

Tuesday, February 26, 2018

Leavey Program Room, 8:00 PM

* Call to Order
  + 8:00 pm by Senator Grande
* Attendance
  + Present: 13
* ABCS
  + Senator Clow
    - ABCS functioned well with $235,000, new groups necessitate $245,000
  + Hughes
    - Draft had ABCS at $220,000, which is a net increase without the cost of off campus field space
  + Dubke
    - ABCS last year was funded with the notion that they would receive less this year, suggests $200,000
  + Buckwald
    - Motion: Fund ABCS at $235,000
      * Arnett: Some teams have larger student involvement and receive less money
      * Clow: Tier one sports like wrestling have higher overhead expenses
      * Discussion of ABCS purpose and costs associated with that
      * Clow: will vote no at this amount and motion later for higher amount
    - Vote:
      * Ayes: 7
      * Nays: 5
      * Passes
* CMSF
  + Nugent:
    - CMSF suggestion at $18,500
  + Clow:
    - They gave a good presentation, but they do not seem to be in dire need for this increase.
  + Grande:
    - Justifiable to drop some of the funding for speaker and interfaith events.
  + Dubke:
    - Motion to fund CMSF in full, $20,462.00.
    - Vote:
      * Ayes: 7
      * Nays: 5
      * Passes
* CSJ-ABSO
  + Moreland:
    - $175,000 would be a good budget for the CSJ-ABSO
  + Clow:
    - Funded them less due to their considerable rollover. Concerned about ensuring that subsidiary groups are actually spending their money. Apply more stringent rules for spending their money.
    - Hughes and Buckwald agreed
  + Ardoin:
    - Also concerned with ABSO’s money rollover.
  + Hughes:
    - Dubious about funding ABSO more.
  + Nugent:
    - ABSO is significant part of what we have to allocate. They still have $190,000 on reserve. Other clubs are struggling with their budget, so we should allocate less to ABSO and more to other clubs.
  + Dubke:
    - A lot of administrators involved in ABSO,
    - Thinks that $175,000 would be a good budget
  + Clow:
    - ABPs have done a lot to reform trips to be less voluntourism
    - More amenable to cutting them because of their struggle to spend money. In other clubs, students are paying out of pocket.
  + Chauhan:
    - Wants to cut $30,000 from ABPS’ request
  + Farrarra:
    - Motion to fund at $145,000 for ABSO
      * Moreland: Not enough. Not funding fully for ABPs will prevent many students from going on spring breaks, and these students are doing a lot of good work.
      * Arnett: ABSO is actually giving back way more than ABPs alone would.
      * Hughes: There is still a rollover, which is very concerning.
      * Nugent: If we give ABSO $175,000 we will be way over budget.
      * Buckwald: Concerned with alternative budget presented at Budget Summit - pulling into the reserve account to fund groups if we don’t meet their request
    - Vote:
      * Ayes: 5
      * Nayes: 7
      * Fails
  + Dubke:
    - Motion to fund at $165,000
    - Clow: I still think that would be too much. I am fiscally more concerned at the money that other groups do not have and need.
    - Moreland: There is a completely different board now.
    - Clow: Concerned about how ready they are to use their reserve account balance
    - Nugent: Second Clow’s point. We should not give such a large portion of our budget to events that occur off campus
    - Moreland: CSJ has to pay for vans, space, and other factors.
    - Vote:
      * Ayes: 5
      * Nayes: 7
      * Fails
* Media Board
  + Grande:
    - Concerned about amount of equipment Media Board requested. There are other groups on campus that more effectively put on similar programming. $79,974.37
  + Ardoin:
    - $95,000. Substantially more than they received last year, we should not take out entire budget for concert.
  + Grande:
    - Their presentation did not prioritize the concert. They’re doing fine on the equipment front now
  + Clow:
    - They aren’t doing fine with equipment. Their programming is done through their equipment. Hoya spends money on buying new servers, computers, etc. They are making a tangible effort, it will just take a year until their new contract.
  + Grande:
    - I cut out their equipment fees because it did not include their subscription to softwares, etc.
  + Clow:
    - Even so, there is such a cost to join the Hoya that it prevents other students from joining. We want students to partake in media board, so we should give them more money.
  + Dubke:
    - Motion to fund Media Board at $95,000
    - Hughes:
      * I want to fund them in full. I have no issue with funding for equipment
    - Motion withdrawn
  + Farrarra:
    - How much would concert cost?
  + Ardoin:
    - Concert would cost about $20,000
  + Clow:
    - There are competing interests within media board, so we can allocate with certain guidelines, but at the end of the day it’s still up to them.
  + Hughes:
    - Motion to fund Media Board in full: $109,220.84.
    - Buckwald:
      * We should not fund them in full. I have them at $90,000.
    - Arnett:
      * Media board is taking on two new clubs. They are expanding into new territory that Georgetown needs.
    - Moreland:
      * I would fund them in full. They do not have enough for equipment
    - Chauhan:
      * Question on contract
    - Farrarra:
      * They were only funded $70,000 last year, so this would still be an increase.
    - Vote:
      * Ayes: 8
      * Nays: 4
      * Passes
* PAAC
  + Dubke:
    - Performing arts will be dipping into reserve account in the future. I want to fund them at full. They were wrongly punished for the failure of their board last year, given that their faculty advisor did not help them well. The club has made many improvements and Georgetown does not fund them.
  + Smith:
    - Fund them at $60,000. They have a reserve account
  + Moreland:
    - No costumes, make their own set. Only money was from ticket sales.
  + Clow:
    - How do we know that they will dip into their reserves?
  + Dubke:
    - Nobody on the board was on the board last year, so they don’t know where the money came from.
  + Clow:
    - Is this not the fault of the board for not knowing this? I think this budget suggestion could still be high. I have them at $60,000, but would be amenable.
  + Nugent:
    - Performances do a great job. They received $37,000 last year, and their reserve is pretty large, so I think $60,000 would be a good figure.
  + Chauhan:
    - Their projections would be around $5,000 and their board operating cost is very high.
  + Dubke:
    - Explained that travel good for groups
  + Moreland:
    - Motion to fund in full, $75,000.00
    - Hughes:
      * Their reserve account is so high that over the next couple of years it can be run down to make it more level with everyone else’s. I have them ar $45,000.
    - Dubke:
      * Addressed travel expenses
    - Clow:
      * Do you know why they don’t have a firm number?
    - Dubke:
      * I don’t have a concrete answer because the board has been overhauling their guidelines and will hopefully have it organized by the end of the year.
    - Vote:
      * Ayes: 11
      * Nays: 1
      * Passes
* SAC
  + Buckwald:
    - SAC typically doesn’t dip into reserve accounts, very frugal with allocations, growth in NCD, funding affects 140 NCD groups, have them at $300K
    - Nugent:
      * In FY 19 they cut down reserves by $15,000
      * In FY 20, don’t they expect to cut down by $22,000?
    - Clow
      * Mentioned that in their presentation
    - Hughes:
      * Do not need to fund them to fill their reserves immediately, base level costs are fully merited, first time we have seen them dip in their reserves
    - Clow:
      * $285K, do end up spending a lot on pizza that goes uneaten, cutting $30,000 in pizza, perhaps reform CAB NCD process so that they do not have as many groups
    - Buckwald:
      * Only $4500 went to pizza, less than 1% of total SAC allocation, $1000 was spent by one club
    - Farrara:
      * Agree with Sen. Buckwald, SAC is the largest advisory board, SAC should not be the main organ to attack for cuts, will defer to the liaisons
    - Smith:
      * $290K, will be amenable to other allocations
  + Hughes:
    - Motion to fund SAC at $310,000, seconded by Nugent
    - Nugent:
      * Why is ad hoc fund increasing by $20K
    - Buckwald:
      * NCD and restoration groups have to ask for ad hoc and those costs are going up, big groups such as IRC and GUGS were in restoration
    - Clow:
      * SAC is most fiscally responsible board, constantly makes cuts, programming still happens. $310,000 is high at this point, but fine
    - Vote:
      * Ayes: 8
      * Nays: 4
      * Passes
* Lecture Fund
  + Chauhan:
    - Lecture Fund is requesting $98,000, significant demand, lots of co-sponsorship events, would be willing to fund them at $95K
  + Clow:
    - If we cut them will only lose one lecture, should not be funding in full, $83K
  + Ardoin:
    - Funding them at $85K, what they received for the past year, will allow them to have the same amount of programming
  + Chauhan:
    - At this point last year had spent $60K, demand in co-sponsorship has increased so dramatically that there should be an increase in the amount of funding they have now
  + Clow:
    - Isn’t the reason for an increase in co-sponsorships due to a change in SAC budgeting policy?
  + Buckwald:
    - No
  + Clow:
    - With current funding have been able to fund 14 out of the 16 co-sponsorships
    - Motion to fund LF at $85K by Senator Clow and seconded by
  + Chauhan:
    - Honorariums are increasing, demand is increasing, university departments are giving less money to help with these events,
    - Open to funding them at $90K, would encourage an increase in their allocation
  + Votes:
    - Aye: 10
    - Nay: 2
    - Passes
* GPB
  + Smith:
    - $145K, use their money to directly engage with the student body, marketing towards entire school
  + Moreland:
    - Around $140K, do a lot of programming for the students, very inclusive
  + Nugent:
    - Last year they received $135K, they do take costs into account very seriously, want to fund them at more than last year
  + Arnett:
    - Have a lot of events going on, board is acutely aware of what events don’t go over as well and what they can improve upon, would want to fund them at $140K
  + Chauhan:
    - Very similar to Lecture Fund, could put on the same amount of programming with the same allocation as last year
  + Smith:
    - Want programs to get better, they want to do more, last year was their lowest budgeted concert so turnout was lower than in previous years
  + Clow:
    - They are similar to Lecture Fund, however with Lecture Fund they put on lectures, GPB puts on different types of events, with more money they can do more diverse programs, space and security costs are going up, have had to put extra events in the wayside and stick to their regular events, provides great opportunities for students, $140-$145K number is appropriate, not asking for a large increase in funding based on the increase in programming
    - Motion to fund GPB at $140K by Senator Clow
  + Chauhan:
    - Stand by the merit of Lecture Fund and GPB, LF puts on a lot of free lectures that students would otherwise not be able to attend
  + Hughes:
    - GPB provides a much more shallow engagement to the rest of the student body, only participate passingly, lot more skeptical of GPB, around $110K, their costs are quite flexible, we will need to cut down later in the night, should fund other groups that put on more substantive programming
  + Smith:
    - The only event that is expensive for the student is the Spring Concert, still relatively lower pricing, still put on good programming
  + Hughes:
    - A lot of these events are something that students engage with for a few minutes, also the concert is very expensive and most students do not go to these events
  + Ardoin:
    - The point of GPB is to be for all students, for other groups you have to be in it and engage with it every day, with GPB can be minimally involved and go to one or two things, good for the student body and atmosphere
  + Dubke:
    - GPB is the only group that funds events that are not alcohol nor club focused, there is not much else to do besides going out in the city if you are not alcohol or club-focused
  + Buckwald:
    - Fine with concert funding, cannot get good artist for less than $100K, fine with $140K
  + Votes:
    - Aye: 11
    - Nay: 1
    - Passes
* CAB
  + Motion to fund at $0
  + Passes Without objection
* GUSA Executive
  + Esqueda:
    - Took out money for menstrual program and speaker
  + Clow:
    - Also took out money for menstrual program and put that in CSJ-ABSO instead, GUSA does not need as much money as they have requested from us on a yearly basis
  + Grande:
    - $5800 would be ideal budget, did a good job of trying to manage costs, put together a decent try
  + Buckwald:
    - Motion to fund at $4000, no second
  + Moreland:
    - Motion to fund GUSA Exec at $6000 and seconded by Senator Buckwald
    - Hughes:
      * Want to give them some money to fund the initiatives that they do have
    - Farrara:
      * Do need to make significant events, the speaker events are being done by Lecture Fund, something closer to $5500 or $5000
    - Clow:
      * Fine with anything in the range of $5000-$6000
      * Currently we do not spend money as GUSA, GUSA does not need nearly as much money as was given to them last year
    - Grande:
      * Last year was a weird year in flux
      * This executive is putting on different programming
    - Votes:
      * Ayes: 10
      * Nay: 2
      * Passes
* GOLD
  + Farrara:
    - Agree with Hayley’s assessment, not impressed with StrengthsQuest assessment, can go without it, $6000
  + Dubke:
    - Their deficit last year was around $680, based on a cursory glance of their budget looks like $2000 would fund everything
  + Ardoin:
    - $6000, agreeing with Farrara and Grande, that is the amount of money they would need to grow their pre-orientation program, do not have money to do programming after freshman year, even the smallest amount of money will allow them to do something
  + Hughes:
    - Motion to fund GOLD at $6K
    - Votes:
      * Passes unanimously
* Outdoor Education
  + Farrara:
    - Fund them at the same level as last year, around $9000K, will be able to get new shoes, put on a good level programming and do different trips
  + Ardoin:
    - For flights hard to book them very far in advance, especially for pre-orientation programs
  + Dubke:
    - Had them at $3K, which would fund them for their equipment purchases, not funding training
  + Arnett:
    - Outdoor education is trying to get their foot off the ground, have seen lots of interest from the current freshman class, training is an integral part of it, should fund them at $8-$9K
  + Hughes:
    - Should fund training
    - Risk intensive activity, equipment is also merited
  + Clow:
    - Go in the $6-$7K range, do some training and still buy their equipment, split down the middle, equipment will last for a while, did come last year for training costs
    - Motion to fund OE at $6500
    - Nugent:
      * Equipment would outlast training during FY 20, training expenses are exorbitant amount for a smaller group, this allocation would allow them to grow as an organization
    - Hughes:
      * Will have to retrain every year
    - Chauhan:
      * How do we restructure them so they do not continuously come back to us with training costs
    - Votes:
      * Ayes: 10
      * Nays:2
      * Passes
* Transfer Council
  + Hughes:
    - Fund this at $8000, fairly sensible engagement, university administration should provide a lot more support for this for transfer students, should not totally fall under student activities fee, should not penalize transfer council, do some advocacy push to GU administration
  + Chauhan:
    - Motion to fund Transfer Council at $7500
    - Dubke:
      * $7000 is based on their expense but only $500 difference
    - Vote:
      * Passes unanimously
* CSJ-ABSO
  + Moreland:
    - Since we cut GUSA fund, we should fund CSJ-ABSO $10K more
  + Clow:
    - Motion to fund with remaining balance with intent of revision, $
    - Vote
      * Passes
* Clow:
  + Motion to move $10k from Media Board into CSJ-ABSO
  + Ardoin:
    - No problems with that
    - Media Board has not tried the concert, would be fine with cutting that, could make their budget smaller or not fund the concert
  + Hughes:
    - Other places to draw this money from such as PAAC, ABCS, and GPB
  + Vote:
    - Aye: 10
    - Nay: 2
    - Passes
* Hughes:
  + Motion to move $10K from PAAC, ABCS, and GPB each to CSJ-ABSO for a total of $30K
  + Clow:
    - CSJ-ABSO should get more money but should not come from those groups
  + Hughes:
    - Would not make PAAC dip into their reserves too much
  + Farrara:
    - We should move from SAC first instead, GPB should not be touched, this motion is very complex
  + Vote:
    - Aye: 3
    - Nay: 9
    - Motion fails
* Farrara:
  + Motion to move $10K from SAC to CSJ-ABSO
* Buckwald:
  + Our responsibility to allocated based on what is best for the community and the student body, irresponsible to motion on this
  + Motion withdrawn
* Moreland:
  + Motion to move $5k from SAC and ABCS, ABCS had their renovations last year
  + Motion to move $5K from SAC and ABCS to CSJ-ABSO
  + Clow:
    - At $230K, cutting more into the physical operations of what ABCS does
    - With the Cooper renovations in mind, ABCS being moved away
  + Vote:
    - Aye: 10
    - Nay: 2
    - Motion Passes
* Nugent:
  + Motion to move $5K from PAAC to CSJ-ABSO
  + Make sure that they are staying financially responsible
  + Dubke:
    - Even funding them in full they would have to pull their reserve account, if we momentarily drain this, we will get to a point where PAAC is not well-funded
  + Nugent:
    - Looking at other advisory boards have already cut significantly, PAAC seems like the advisory board that can still function with a $5K cut
  + Arnett:
    - What we gave them last year has made them more fiscally responsible, funding them at $65K will allow them to continue to function
  + Dubke:
    - Do not have same magnitude of arts program as other schools due to a lack of funding
  + Nugent:
    - Based on current allocations looks like we will need to cut funding for PAAC
  + Clow:
    - What are we trying to get CSJ-ABSO up to, so then we can move the money around correctly
  + Vote:
    - Aye: 7
    - Nay: 5
    - Passes
* Farrara:
  + Have not cut CMSF yet
* Moreland:
  + CSJ-ABSO allocation should be around $155-160K
* Ardoin:
  + CSJ-ABSO should be around $150K
* Hughes:
  + $140K, gets them to a reasonable operating level, able to get to this number based on what we have left
* Dubke:
  + We just cut a group for having a large reserve account, should do the same for all groups
* Moreland:
  + Told them we are not going to judge them based on their reserve account
* Farrara:
  + Cutting them based on roll-over and not based on reserve
* Hughes:
  + Motion to deem the allocation for CSJ-ABSO at $140K
  + Vote:
    - Aye:
    - Nay:
* Dubke:
  + Motion to move $20K from ABCS to CSJ-ABSO
  + ABCS should not receive more money since Kehoe Field is fully renovated
  + Clow:
    - While that money was intended to go towards field space and it did, other expenses have arisen, making student life more accessible, see student assistance requests come in, people do need money to participate in club sports, will not be possible if we cut their funding anymore, still going to be further costs down the line
  + Hughes:
    - Would you entertain a motion to take $14K from ABCS to CSJ-ABSO as that would put CSJ at $140K and put ABCS at $216K
  + Dubke:
    - Motion withdrawn
  + Hughes
    - Motion to move $14K from ABCS to CSJ-ABSO
    - Motion withdrawn
* Farrara:
  + Smaller cuts to consider first
* Nugent:
  + Motion to move the following, totalling $10,100 to ABSO
    - 2000 GUSA
    - 1100 CMSF
    - 3000 SAC
    - 1000 Media Board
    - 1000 GOLD
    - 1000 Outdoor Ed
    - 1000 GPB
  + Arnett:
    - Some money still needs to come from ABCS, $2000-$3000 could come from them
  + Farrara:
    - GUSA still giving them $6000, SAC liaison indicated that we could go lower, so we do not take more from CSJ-ABSO, 1000 from GOLD and Outdoor ED, small cuts that are still reasonable and close to original ask, CMSF eliminate duplicate speaking events, would be a compromise
  + Dubke:
    - Should take money from ABCS and give it to CSJ-ABSO, should not make the argument that we need to take money from somewhere
  + Ardoin:
    - Why are you cutting Media Board?
  + Nugent:
    - Still a significant increase from last year, recent attempts by Hoya and the Voice to monetize themselves will allow them to stay afloat
  + Ardoin:
    - Marketing to alumni is not that big, no other board is going to have to make more money, no other board has been held to that standard
  + Moreland:
    - Combine all the proposals, CSJ-ABSO is getting cut by so much money
  + Clow:
    - Media Board is not the only board that is asked to make money, ABCS is also asked to reach into the pockets of students
  + Hughes:
    - Now is not the point that we should take from SAC and GUSA
    - SAC has a lot of groups under it
    - GUSA cut would give them ⅓ of current allocation, significantly hurt their programming, would prefer to remove those two from this motion
  + Farrara:
    - Need to compromise, taking a little bit from everyone because would still allow them to function
  + Nugent:
    - Disingenuous of GUSA to allocate money towards us when we do not spend a lot of money, taking money from GUSA executive account is a great way to shift the focus away from GUSA
  + Buckwald:
    - Agree with cutting GUSA, disagree that it would not hurt SAC groups, $3000 cut is equivalent to funding for 1-2 clubs, agree with Hughes
    - To get to the goal of $140K, take $2000 from CMSF, $2000 from GUSA and $10K from ABCS
  + Hughes:
    - Motion to amend the motion to change GPB to $1500 and $1500 from GUSA
  + Nugent:
    - More comfortable with taking money away from GUSA than GPB
  + Vote to table:
    - Aye: 10
    - Nay: 2
    - Passes
* Chauhan:
  + Somebody raised a motion to have GOLD at $2000
* Buckwald:
  + Motion to move $2000 from GUSA and $2000 from CMSF and $10K from ABCS to CSJ-ABSO
  + Clow:
    - ABCS has taken on five new teams since FY 18 and 2 since FY 19, more teams are being added, incredibly high costs that go with club sports, not amenable to $10K cut, willing to cut $2-$3K from ABCS if we also cut $2-$3K from CMSF
  + Arnett:
    - We should still be cutting CMSF, more of the burden of cutting should fall on ABCS since it is a bigger board, cut ABCS by $6-7K and $2-$3K from CMSF
  + Farrara:
    - Move to divide the question to vote first on cutting GUSA and CMSF and then vote on cutting to ABCS--friendly amendment
    - Hughes:
      * Do not think it is wise at this point to cut a third from the GUSA account, one of the smallest things we are allocating to, especially when ABCS is still on the table
    - Vote for 2k from GUSA and 2k from CMSF:
      * Aye: 10
      * Nay: 2
      * Passes
  + Moreland:
    - $140K is still not enough for CSJ-ABSO
  + Clow:
    - We are cutting ABCS instead of cutting money from SAC, ABCS has been taking huge cuts and more than we ask of from any group
  + Dubke:
    - ABCS is inflated, should not be analyzing percent cut
  + Buckwald:
    - Percentage cut of ABCS is complex (nuances with new teams), but SAC should not be cut (many more new teams compared); ABCS should be cut 10k
  + Nugent:
    - 20% increase in SAC clubs, but new clubs are spending less than typically established organizations
    - Increasing SAC allocation by $32k
  + Hughes:
    - GPB should be cut by $10k
  + Grande:
    - Vote on voting on 10k from ABCS to CSJ
      * Aye: 7
      * Nay: 5
    - Vote on voting on 10k from ABCS to CSJ
      * Aye: 6
      * Nay: 6
      * Chair: Aye
      * Passes
  + Clow:
    - Motion to move $5,000 from SAC to ABCS
    - ABCS goes directly to students’ pockets
    - Sport competition fulfills Georgetown experience
  + Grande:
    - Media Board is directly to students as well
  + Chauhan:
    - Pro ABCS: do service activities (i.e. running club, relay for life). Socially engaged clubs. Level of engagement in club sports: unique engagement. Community not founded in other clubs.
  + Nugent:
    - ABCS needs to be able to go to nationals.
  + Clow:
    - Willing to move $2,500 from SAC
  + Hughes
    - Calls to question, with Objection
  + Clow:
    - Motion withdrawn
  + Arnett:
    - Should not be moving blocks of money around. Take minor amounts of money.
  + Ardoin:
    - GOLD has already cut budget; allocated less than they asked for already. Need to be focusing on developing leadership skills.
  + Hughes:
    - Motion to move $10,000 from GPB to give $5,000 to CSJ and $5,000 to ABCS
      * No second
  + Moreland:
    - Motion to move $2,000 from TC to CSJ
  + Chauhan:
    - OE, TC, and GOLD should not be touched
  + Ardoin:
    - Budget for TC should not be removed; marginalized community with larger community
  + Buckwald:
    - New students for TC need *much* more funding (compare to NSO)
  + Dubke:
    - Comfortable with budget as it is (but motion on floor)
  + Ferrara:
    - GOLD: more funding? No; ABCS should first be funded so we can maintain activities we currently fund.
    - Second priority: new activities (i.e. GOLD)
  + Hughes
    - Motion to table pending motion
      * Motion denied
  + Grande:
    - Vote on motion to move $2k from TC to CSJ
      * Fails by unanimous consent
  + Hughes:
    - What is missing from the budget? $5k to CSJ; $5k to ABCS
  + Grande:
    - Formalized commentary, return to rules.
  + Farrara:
    - Motion to move $1,000 from OE to ABCS
      * ABCS needs the money to function. OE needs more equipment, also did not expect to return.
  + Chauhan:
    - OE is facing same changes as ABCS. Does not support taking any money from OE.
  + Hughes:
    - OE should be in Part A
  + Clow:
    - Is coming to us year to year considered a part of Part A?
  + Ardoin:
    - OE applied for equipment last year; this year, they asked for new shoes
    - Training should be priority, but as Part B, they should not be considered like Part A
    - Not our responsibility to fund each year
  + Grande:
    - Vote on $1,000 from OE to ABCS
      * Aye: 9
      * Nay: 3
      * Passes
  + Arnett:
    - To ABSO or ABCS; move: $5,682 total:
      * $462 CMSF
      * $1500 SAC
      * $720 MB
      * $500 PAAC
      * $1,500 GPB
      * $1000 GOLD
    - Motion entertained by chair
  + Dubke:
    - Removing 15000 from GPB is nonsensical
  + Ardoin:
    - Approves removal from MB, but not $1,000 from GOLD--would $750 from GOLD be appropriate?
  + Arnett:
    - Motion to move to ABSO; move: $5,432 total:
      * $462 CMSF
      * $1500 SAC
      * $720 MB
      * $500 PAAC
      * $1,500 GPB
      * $750 GOLD
  + Nugent:
    - Objects to CMSF cut to costs. Cut by $30 instead from CMSF
    - Motion to move to ABSO; move: $5,000 total:
      * $30 CMSF
      * $1500 SAC
      * $720 MB
      * $500 PAAC
      * $1,500 GPB
      * $750 GOLD
  + Grande:
    - Will not entertain motions that do not look to greatest student impact; will not vote for round numbers
  + Vote to remove $0 from CMSF
    - Aye: 11
    - Nay: 0
    - Chair abstain
  + Dubke:
    - Objects on grounds of GPB
  + Grande:
    - Asks for motion to be withdrawn
  + Hughes:
    - Called to question the motion
  + Grande:
    - Breaks the rules to deny the motion
  + Arnett: fairly agreed upon
    - $1500 SAC
    - $720 MB
    - $500 PAAC
    - $1,500 GPB
    - $750 GOLD
  + Dubke:
    - Vote on SAC, MB, GOLD?
  + Ardoin:
    - Motion to vote on moving $500 from PAAC and $720 from MB to CSJ-ABSO
  + Farrara:
    - ABCS needs it more than CSJ ABSO
  + Moreland:
    - We are liaisons, not sitting members of their boards, need to make sure ABSO has higher funding levels
  + Dubke:
    - Call motion to question
    - Vote on voting on above motion
      * Aye: 11
      * Nay: 1
    - Vote on motion moving $500 from PAAC and $720 from MB to CSJ-ABSO
      * Aye: 10
      * Nay: 2
      * Passes
  + Buckwald:
    - Motion on the underlying bill
  + Dubke:
    - Second
  + Buckwald:
    - Appeals is an appropriate place to move around smaller quantities
  + Farrara:
    - Should still be discussing GOLD
  + Arnett:
    - View working draft?
  + Nugent:
    - Take funding from SAC?
  + Smith:
    - $1,000 from SAC to CSJ; $750 from GOLD to ABCS
  + Grande:
    - Motion is called to question
      * No objection
    - Vote on draft budget as it stands
      * Aye: 10
      * Nay: 2
      * Passes